## Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation Environmental Assessment

Operator: Samson Oil and Gas USA Montana, Inc.

Well Name/Number: Gretel II 12KA 3
Location: SE SE Section 22 T28N R53E
County: Roosevelt, MT; Field (or Wildcat) Wildcat
Air Quality
(possible concerns)
Long drilling time: No, 20-30 days drilling time.
Unusually deep drilling (high horsepower rig): <u>Triple derrick drilling rig to drill to 16,326'MD/8800'</u>
TVD, single lateral horizontal Middle Bakken Formation test.
Possible H2S gas production: Yes, slight possiblity.
In/near Class I air quality area: Yes, in a Class I air quality area, within Fort Peck Indian Reservation
boundaries.
Air quality permit for flaring/venting (if productive): <u>Yes, DEQ air quality permit required under 75-2-211.</u>
Mitigation:
X Air quality permit (AQB review)
Gas plants/pipelines available for sour gas
Special equipment/procedures requirements
Other:
Comments: Triple derrick drilling rig to drill a 16,326'MD/8800' TVD single lateral horizontal
Middle Bakken Formation test.
Water Quality
(possible concerns)
Salt/oil based mud: Yes, oil based invert drilling mud system to be used out from under surface casing.
Brine water will be used to drill the horizontal lateral. Freshwater drilling mud system will be used on
surface hole.
High water table: None anticipated.
Surface drainage leads to live water: No, closest surface drainage nearby is Elder Creek, an ephemeral
tributary drainage to Missouri River, about 1/8 of a mile to the southeast from this location. The Missouri
River is about 1 3/8 of a mile to the southwest from this location.
Water well contamination: According to GWIC, the closest water wells are about 1/8 of a mile to the
west, 1/8 of a mile to the east, 3/16 of a mile to the northeast, ½ of a mile to the southeast, 5/8 of a mile to
the southeast and 5/8 of a mile to the west from this location. Depth of these wells range from 30' to 260'.
Surface hole will be drilled with freshwater and freshwater drilling fluids. Steel surface casing will be run
to 1500' and cemented back to surface. To protect shallow ground waters and the Judith River Formation.
Porous/permeable soils: No, sandy silty clay soils.
Class I stream drainage: No, Class I stream drainages.
Mitigation:
X Lined reserve pit
$\underline{X}$ Adequate surface casing
Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage
Closed mud system
<u>X</u> Off-site disposal of solids/liquids (in approved facility)
Other:
Comments: 1500' surface casing well ensure shallow ground water aguifers are isolated.

Soils/Vegetation/Land Use

Adequate surface casing and operational BOP equipment should prevent any problems.

(possible concerns)
Steam crossings: None anticipated, crossing only an ephemeral drainage, Elder Creek.
High erosion potential: No, a small cut, up to 2.91' and small fill, up to 2.53', required.
Loss of soil productivity: None, location to be restored after drilling well, if nonproductive. If productive
unused portion of drillsite will be reclaimed.
Unusually large wellsite: No, large well site, 400'X327'.
Damage to improvements: Slight, surface use is a hay field.
Conflict with existing land use/values: Slight
Mitigation
Avoid improvements (topographic tolerance)
Exception location requested
X Stockpile topsoil
Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review)
X Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive
Special construction methods to enhance reclamation
Other
Comments: Existing county road, Indian Road. A short access from the existing county road into
location will be constructed, about ¼ of a mile. Drilling fluids will be recycled. Completion fluids will be
hauled to a approved commercial saltwater disposal. Drill cuttings, mud solids and pit liner will be
disposed of at a certified commercial disposal. The subsoil clays will be used to solidify the drill cuttings
and fill the reserve pit.
Health Hazards/Noise
(possible concerns)
Proximity to public facilities/residences: Closest residences are about 1/4 of a mile to the northeast, ½ of a
mile to the south and 3/8 of a mile to the southwest from this location.
Possibility of H2S: Yes, possible H2S.
Size of rig/length of drilling time: <u>Triple derrick drilling rig 20 to 30 days drilling time.</u>
Mitigation:
_X Proper BOP equipment
Topographic sound barriers
H2S contingency and/or evacuation plan
Special equipment/procedures requirements
Other:
Comments: Adequate surface casing cemented to surface with working BOP stack should
mitigate any problems.
Wildlife/recreation
(possible concerns)
Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None identified.
Proximity to recreation sites: None identified.
Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: No
Conflict with game range/refuge management: No
Threatened or endangered Species: Only threatened and endangered species listed are the Pallid Sturgeon,
Interior Least Tern, Piping Plover and Whooping Crane. Species listed as "Candidate Species" is the
Sprague's Pipit. NH tracker website lists following one (1) species of concern in this Township and
Range: Piping Plover.
Mitigation:
Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception)
Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies, DSL)
Screening/fencing of pits, drillsite

Other:
Comments: <u>Private surface hay land</u> . There maybe species of concern that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to what he would like done, if a
species of concern is discovered at this location. The Board of Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction over private
surface lands.
Historical/Cultural/Paleontological
(possible concerns) Proximity to known sites: None identified.
Mitigation
avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)
other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies)
Other:
Comments: <u>Private surface hay land</u> . There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his
desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. The Board of
Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands.
Social/Economic
(possible concerns)
Substantial effect on tax base
Create demand for new governmental services
Population increase or relocation Comments: No concerns
Comments. No concerns.
Remarks or Special Concerns for this site
Drill a 16,326'MD/8800' TVD, single lateral horizontal Middle Bakken Formation test.
Din a 10,520 MD/0000 1 v D, single lateral nonzontal Middle Dakken I offination test.
Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects
No long term impact expected with the drilling of this well, some short term impacts are expected
No long term impact expected with the drilling of this well, some short term impacts are expected.
No long term impact expected with the drilling of this well, some short term impacts are expected.
No long term impact expected with the drilling of this well, some short term impacts are expected.
I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/does not) constitute a major
I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/ <u>does not</u> ) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/ <u>does not</u> ) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.
I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/ <u>does not</u> ) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/ <u>does not</u> ) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.  Prepared by (BOGC): /s/Steven Sasaki
I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/ <u>does not</u> ) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/ <u>does not</u> ) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.  Prepared by (BOGC):/s/Steven Sasaki
I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/ <u>does not</u> ) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/ <u>does not</u> ) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.  Prepared by (BOGC): /s/Steven Sasaki
I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/ <u>does not</u> ) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/ <u>does not</u> ) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.  Prepared by (BOGC):/s/Steven Sasaki
I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/ <u>does not</u> ) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/ <u>does not</u> ) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.  Prepared by (BOGC): /s/Steven Sasaki (title:) Chief Field Inspector  Date: October 17, 2011  Other Persons Contacted:
I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/ <u>does not</u> ) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/ <u>does not</u> ) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.  Prepared by (BOGC):/s/Steven Sasaki

_Rooseveit County water wells
(subject discussed)
October 17, 2011
(date)
US Fish and Wildlife, Region 6 website
(Name and Agency)
ENDANGERED, THREATENED, PROPOSED AND CANDIDATE SPECIES MONTANA
<u>COUNTIES</u> , Roosevelt County
(subject discussed)
October 17, 2011
(date)
Montana Natural Heritage Program Website (FWP)
(Name and Agency)
Heritage State Rank= S1, S2, S3, T28N R53E
(subject discussed)
October 17, 2011
(date)
If location was inspected before permit approval:
Inspection date:
Inspector:
Others present during inspection: